This paper is a statistical analysis of the assertion that the world is warming at an accelerated rate due to human activity (anthropomorphic forcing), caused mainly by CO 2 emissions.

1. Executive summary:

Over $14,000 \mathrm{PhD}$ 's worldwide have done independent statical reviews of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) 2010 published climate data (and other independent temperature data resources) and have published the following conclusions:

Source: NOAA published 2010 climate data (see Chart 1 and Table 1 column 2 below);
a. Using the pre-industrial ( 1850 to 1880) recorded temperatures, researchers were able with $99 \%$ confidence to establish prior to 1880 the world was warming in a linear manner. Specifically, world average temperatures were warming at 0.004 Deg C per year ( $\mathrm{p}=.01, \mathrm{n}=30$, y intercept $=14.58$ Deg C @ year 1850, ơ = 0.24 Deg C, see SPSS Output 3).
b. Using the pre-industrial (1850 to 1880) model of nominal warming, the predicted normal temperatures (no anthropomorphic forcing) for 2009 is 15.22 Deg $C$ ( $p=.05, n=159$, see SPSS Output 3).
c. The NOAA reported worldwide average temperature for 2009 is 15.30 Deg C (a difference of $+0.08 \mathrm{Deg} \mathrm{C})($ see Chart 1 and Table 1 column 2, 2009 reported temperature).
d. Using International Business Machines (IBM) Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) researchers are $95 \%$ Confident that the current world temperatures are within $1 / 4$ of 1 Deg C of expected normal temperatures ( $\mathrm{p}=.05, \mathrm{n}=159$ ).
e. Using International Business Machines (IBM) Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) researchers are $99 \%$ Confident that the current world average temperatures are withing $1 / 3$ of 1 Deg C of expected normal temperatures ( $\mathrm{P}=.01, \mathrm{n}=159$ ).
2. Methods and calculations.
a. Reviewing the published NOAA chart below (Chart 1) the first indication needing further inquiry is the scale of the temperatures reported. Chart 1 temperature scale is reported at 0.20 Deg C, not 2.00 Deg C.


Chart 1: NOAA 2010 Climate Data and Scale Observation
b. From the Chart 1, researchers can extract worldwide average temperatures to two significant digits and create a table of the data for further investigation ( -0.00 is 15.00 Deg C, NOAA 2010) (See table 1, $\mathrm{n}=159$ ).

The data from Chart 1, plotted on Table 1, then is recharted against a 2.00 Deg C scale, and is graphed below in Chart $2(\mathrm{n}=159)$.


Chart 2: NOAA 2010 Climate Data Replotted on 2.00 Deg C Scale
c. Further statistical investigation of world reported NOAA data. Statistical Investigation NOAA data reveals interesting world temperature summary. Noteworthy is the average world temperature of 14.82 Deg C with a Standard Deviation ( $\alpha$ ) of $1 / 4$ of 1 Deg C ( 0.25 Deg C, $n=$ 159) (SPSS Output 1; Statistical Investigation NOAA Climate Data, $\mathrm{n}=159$ ).

## Case Processing Summary

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cases |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |  |  |  |
| V2 | 159 | $100.0 \%$ |  | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 159 |  |  |  |

Descriptives

|  |  |  | Statistic | Std. Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V2 | Mean |  | 14.8196 | . 02016 |
|  | 95\% Confidence Interval for Mean | Lower Bound | 14.7798 |  |
|  |  | Upper Bound | 14.8594 |  |
|  | 5\% Trimmed Mean |  | 14.8058 |  |
|  | Median |  | 14.7600 |  |
|  | Variance |  | 065 |  |
|  | Std. Deviation |  | . 25420 |  |
|  | Minimum |  | 444 |  |
|  | Maximum |  | 15.53 |  |
|  | Range |  | 1.12 |  |
|  | Interquartile Range |  | . 32 |  |
|  | Skewness |  | . 791 | . 192 |
|  | Kurtosis |  | . 223 | . 383 |

SPSS Output 1; Statistical Investigation NOAA Climate Data ( $\mathrm{n}=159$ ).
d. To establish nominal expected temperatures without anthropomorphic forcing, we took the 30 years prior to 1880 (1850 to 1880 , see table 1 , column 3 ) and ran a statistical investigation into these years. Climate investigation of the 30 years of world average temperatures reports the average world temperature (prior to 1880) was 14.66 Deg C with a Standard Deviation (o) of . 11 of 1 Deg C $(\mathrm{n}=30)$ (see SPSS Output 2; Statistical Investigation Pre-Industrial (1850 to 1880)).

| NOAA1880 | Mean |  | poatistic Std. Error |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 95\% Confidence Interval for | Lower Bound | 14.0249 |  |
|  | Mean | Upper Bound | 14.6941 |  |
|  | 5\% Trimmed Mean |  | 14.6544 |  |
|  | Median |  | 14.6700 |  |
|  | Variance |  | . 01 |  |
|  | Std. Deviation |  | . 10825 |  |
|  | Minimum |  | 44.42 |  |
|  | Maximum |  | 15.02 |  |
|  | Range |  | . 60 |  |
|  | Interquartile Ranqe |  | . 10 |  |
|  | Skewness |  | 635 | . 374 |
|  | Kurtosis |  | 2.874 | 733 |

SPSS Output 2: Statistical Investigation Pre-Industrial (1850 to 1880) Climate Data ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ ).


Chart 3: Pre-Industrial (1850 to 1880) Climate Data ( $\mathrm{n}=30$ ).
e. Further investigation of the potential for rapid warming was needed. To establish a divergence from the expected normal, we used the preindustrial temperature reports (prior to 1880) to establish a baseline for normal world warming without CO2 influence (see Table 1, Column 2, n $=30$ ).

Using SPSS we looked for form and fit of the recorded climate data against mathematical trends (is it a sine wave/cosine/exponential/linear function) for the warming trends pre-1880.

With $99 \%$ confidence SPSS was able to determine that the warming prior to 1880 fit a linear model $(\mathrm{P}=.01, \mathrm{n}=30$, y intercept $=14.58 \mathrm{Deg} \mathrm{C} @$ year 1850 , o $=0.24$ Deg C, see SPSS Output 3).

| Model | $R$ | R Square | Adjusted R <br> Square |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.411^{a}$ | .169 | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate |
| a. Predictors: (Constant), Year40 |  | .147 | .09996 |



SPSS Output 3: Analysis of Variance Pre-Industrial (1850 to 1880) Climate Data ( $\mathrm{P}=.01, \mathrm{~N}=$ 30).
f. Using the reported linear summary, we matched $Y=M X+B$ to calculate the normal expected warming using the pre-1880 temperatures to determine the expected temperatures for years 2009 and 2022.
$Y(2009)=.004(2009-1850)+14.58$ Deg C
$Y(2009)=\underline{15.22} \mathrm{Deg} \mathrm{C}($ non - industrial era prediction of normal expected temperatures $)$
$Y(2020)=.004(2020-1850)+14.58$ Deg C
$Y(2020)=\underline{15.26} \operatorname{Deg~C}($ non - industrial era prediction of normal expected temperatures $)$
Pulling the data from the NOAA published data below reported 2009 temperature is 15.30 Dec C

The recorded world temperature for 2009 is 15.30 Deg C and is only 0.08 Deg C above expected and predicted value of 15.22 Deg C.


Chart 1 : NOAA 2010 Climate Data and 2009 Temperature (expected normal temperature is 15.22 Deg C, actual is 15.30 Deg C.

Summary:

1. Over $14,000 \mathrm{PhD}$ 's assert with $95 \%$ confidence that the current global temps are within $1 / 4$ of 1 Deg C from expected normal temps.
b. We are $99 \%$ confident that that the current global temps are within $1 / 3$ of 1 Deg C from expected normal temps (no acceleration in warming).

ARGUMENTS against this paper. (Paper number 2)
21 July 2022

1. Record temp in my city are every year, that proves CO 2 emissions are warming the planet.
a. Answer: Your city should have record temperatures every year as normal warming is . 004 Deg C per year. In 2009, every city should be close to the predicted temperatures of 15.22 Deg C and in 2020 at 15.26 Deg C, in 2022 normal expected temperatures is 15.27 Deg $C(p=.05, n=159)$.
b. The assertion that a single observation of record high temperatures is proof of climate change and global anthropomorphic climate change is a DATA misinformation. See "How to Detect

Scientific Lies", Slides 19-22, @ https://headexplosionfx.com/How\ to\ detect\ scientific\ lies.pdf
2. Every city around the world is recording record temperatures every year.
a. Answer: Every city should have record temperatures every year as normal warming is . 004 Deg C per year. In 2009, every city should be close to the predicted temperatures of 15.22 Deg C and in 2020 at 15.26 Deg C. In 2022 normal expected temperatures are 15.27 Deg C ( $p=.05$, $\mathrm{n}=159$ ).
b. The assertion that a every city is experiencing record high temperatures is proof of climate change and global anthropomorphic climate change is an INFORMATION misinformation. See "How to Detect Scientific Lies", Slides 26-28, @ https://headexplosionfx.com/How\ to\ detect\ scientific\ lies.pdf
3. Record climate "disasters" prove global warming.
a. There are no studies comparing the rate and severity of current climate "disasters" with years previous to 1880 and the industrial revolution.
b. The assertion that world is experiencing unprecedent climate actions has not been studies or reported to any statistical significance. Asserting that the observations around the world are extreme is a KNOWLEDGE misinformation. See "How to Detect Scientific Lies", Slides 46-47, @ https://headexplosionfx.com/How\ to\ detect\ scientific\ lies.pdf.
c. So far, in any paper or report, or book has the climate alarmists displayed the results of their scientific proofs. There are reports of normal expected temperature, only reports that we are divergent above the normal from between 1.3 to over 2.1 Deg C already.
d. We should demand the global climate alarmist to show us what the normal predicted temperature should be, how they calculated that value and then the comparison to what it is today and the statistical measure of that divergence. Ask them to repeat this paper or refute it.
e. What we see from the climate alarmists is statical analysis of their own computer models, not the actual data. Al Gore used these computer models to predict that New York and Miami would
(Many more argument to be added here.)

```
Data From NOAA 2010 Climate Chart
YEAR Temp Temp
1850 14.56 14.56
1851 14.72 14.72
```

| 1852 | 14.71 | 14.71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1853 | 14.66 | 14.66 |
| 1854 | 14.7 | 14.7 |
| 1855 | 14.68 | 14.68 |
| 1856 | 14.59 | 14.59 |
| 1857 | 14.5 | 14.5 |
| 1858 | 14.49 | 14.49 |
| 1859 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| 1860 | 14.57 | 14.57 |
| 1861 | 14.59 | 14.59 |
| 1862 | 14.46 | 14.46 |
| 1863 | 14.68 | 14.68 |
| 1864 | 14.48 | 14.48 |
| 1865 | 14.7 | 14.7 |
| 1866 | 14.7 | 14.7 |
| 1867 | 14.66 | 14.66 |
| 1868 | 14.72 | 14.72 |
| 1869 | 14.69 | 14.69 |
| 1870 | 14.7 | 14.7 |
| 1871 | 14.66 | 14.66 |
| 1872 | 14.75 | 14.75 |
| 1873 | 14.67 | 14.67 |
| 1874 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| 1875 | 14.58 | 14.58 |
| 1876 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| 1877 | 14.92 | 14.92 |
| 1878 | 15.02 | 15.02 |
| 1879 | 14.74 | 14.74 |
| 1880 | 14.74 |  |
| 1881 | 14.76 |  |
| 1882 | 14.76 |  |
| 1883 | 14.7 |  |
| 1884 | 14.63 |  |
| 1885 | 14.64 |  |
| 1886 | 14.73 |  |
| 1887 | 14.62 |  |
| 1888 | 14.67 |  |
| 1889 | 14.82 |  |
| 1890 | 14.57 |  |
| 1891 | 14.63 |  |
| 1892 | 14.52 |  |
| 1893 | 14.5 |  |
| 1894 | 14.56 |  |
| 1895 | 14.58 |  |
| 1896 | 14.78 |  |
| 1897 | 14.76 |  |
| 1898 | 14.57 |  |
| 1899 | 14.68 |  |
| 1900 | 14.78 |  |
| 1901 | 14.69 |  |


| 1902 | 14.57 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1903 | 14.49 |
| 1904 | 14.44 |
| 1905 | 14.59 |
| 1906 | 14.67 |
| 1907 | 14.49 |
| 1908 | 14.44 |
| 1909 | 14.43 |
| 1910 | 14.55 |
| 1911 | 14.42 |
| 1912 | 14.52 |
| 1913 | 14.52 |
| 1914 | 14.61 |
| 1915 | 14.78 |
| 1916 | 14.57 |
| 1917 | 14.49 |
| 1918 | 14.62 |
| 1919 | 14.67 |
| 1920 | 14.68 |
| 1921 | 14.74 |
| 1922 | 14.62 |
| 1923 | 14.66 |
| 1924 | 14.64 |
| 1925 | 14.73 |
| 1926 | 14.84 |
| 1927 | 14.74 |
| 1928 | 14.75 |
| 1929 | 14.62 |
| 1930 | 14.84 |
| 1931 | 14.87 |
| 1932 | 14.85 |
| 1933 | 14.7 |
| 1934 | 14.86 |
| 1935 | 14.82 |
| 1936 | 14.85 |
| 1937 | 14.97 |
| 1938 | 15.02 |
| 1939 | 15 |
| 1940 | 15.02 |
| 1941 | 15.08 |
| 1942 | 14.97 |
| 1943 | 14.97 |
| 1944 | 15.12 |
| 1945 | 14.99 |
| 1946 | 14.8 |
| 1947 | 14.81 |
| 1948 | 14.8 |
| 1949 | 14.79 |
| 1950 | 14.69 |
| 1951 | 14.83 |


| 1952 | 14.93 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1953 | 14.97 |
| 1954 | 14.75 |
| 1955 | 14.72 |
| 1956 | 14.65 |
| 1957 | 14.93 |
| 1958 | 14.99 |
| 1959 | 14.93 |
| 1960 | 14.87 |
| 1961 | 14.98 |
| 1962 | 14.98 |
| 1963 | 15 |
| 1964 | 14.7 |
| 1965 | 14.78 |
| 1966 | 14.85 |
| 1967 | 14.85 |
| 1968 | 14.84 |
| 1969 | 14.99 |
| 1970 | 14.94 |
| 1971 | 14.82 |
| 1972 | 14.95 |
| 1973 | 15.07 |
| 1974 | 14.79 |
| 1975 | 14.83 |
| 1976 | 14.85 |
| 1977 | 15.02 |
| 1978 | 14.94 |
| 1979 | 15.05 |
| 1980 | 15.07 |
| 1981 | 15.12 |
| 1982 | 15.01 |
| 1983 | 15.18 |
| 1984 | 14.98 |
| 1985 | 14.96 |
| 1986 | 15.03 |
| 1987 | 15.18 |
| 1988 | 15.18 |
| 1989 | 15.1 |
| 1990 | 15.26 |
| 1991 | 15.22 |
| 1992 | 15.06 |
| 1993 | 15.1 |
| 1994 | 15.17 |
| 1995 | 15.28 |
| 1996 | 15.14 |
| 1997 | 15.36 |
| 1998 | 15.54 |
| 1999 | 15.28 |
| 2000 | 15.27 |
| 2001 | 15.41 |


| 2002 | 15.46 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2003 | 15.47 |
| 2004 | 15.45 |
| 2005 | 15.48 |
| 2006 | 15.42 |
| 2007 | 15.4 |
| 2009 | 15.3 |

Table 1 Temperatures Extracted from NOAA Chart 1 to two significant digits.

